FILED

ARSHAK BARTOUMIAN (SBN 210370) OMNIA LEGAL, INC 124 W STOCKER ST STE B GLENDALE, CA 91202 TEL. 818-532-9339 EMAIL: <u>DISPUTES@OMNIALEGAL.ORG</u>

Attorney for Plaintiff Nevrik Berberyan

12 MAY 25 AM 10: 49

CLERK U.S. BESTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES

8 Y:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NEVRIK BERBERYAN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BANK OF AMERICA N.A. A National Associations

BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE, A
Delaware Corporation

14 CAPITAL ONE BANK USA N.A. A National Association

GE MONEY BANK a/k/a GE CAPITAL

FINANCIAL, INC A Utah Corporation HSBC BANK USA N.A. A National

17 | Association

MACYS CORPORATE SERVICES, INC, A

Delaware Corporation

PNC BANK N.A. A National Association TD BANK N.A. A National Association

20 | DEPARTMENT STORES NATIONAL

BANK, A South Dakota Corporation

WELLS FARGO BANK N.A. A National

Associations

Defendants.

Cast No. 2 - 04431PS4(MPW)

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR:

- 1) VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT;
- 2) VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES ACT;

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL



NOW COMES Plaintiff Nevrik Berberyan (hereinafter "Plaintiff") through her attorney on record Arshak Bartoumian and brings this Complaint against BANK OF AMERICA N.A. (hereinafter "BANA"), BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE (hereinafter "BARCLAYS"), CAPITAL ONE BANK USA N.A. (hereinafter "CAPITAL"), GE MONEY BANK a/k/a GE

CAPITAL FINANCIAL, INC (hereinafter "GE"), HSBC BANK USA N.A. (hereinafter "HSBC"), MACYS CORPORATE SERVICES, INC (hereinafter "MACYS"), PNC BNAK N.A. (hereinafter "PNC"), TD BANK N.A. (hereinafter "TD"), DEPARTMENT STORES NATIONAL BANK (hereinafter "DSNB") and WELLS FARGO BANK N.A. (hereinafter "WELLS"), collectively referred to as DEFENDANTS for violations of Federal and State consumer protection laws, specifically the Fair Credit Reporting Act [15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq.] ("FCRA") and California's Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act [California Civil Code §1785.2 et seq.] ("CCRAA"), and alleges as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Congress enacted the FCRA to establish consumer rights to privacy over their credit and financial information and to ensure the "[a]ccuracy and fairness of credit reporting." FCRA provides several protections for consumers, including but not limited to the right to be notified of any negative/unfavorable information reported in their name and the right to dispute inaccurate, outdated and/or incomplete information on their credit file.
- 2. FCRA regulates credit reporting agencies as well as creditors, collection agencies and other parties who provide information to credit reporting agencies and/or obtain and use the consumer credit reports. FCRA Section 623, 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2, imposes obligations on furnishers of information to the credit reporting agencies. Furnishers must report accurate information, correct and update erroneous information, and provide certain notices to consumer pertaining to furnished information.
- 3. FCRA Sections 616 and 617, 15 U.S.C. §1681n and §1681o, create private right of action consumers can bring against violators of any provision of the FCRA with regards to their credit. In DiMezza v. First USA Bank, Inc., supra, the court confirmed that "[...] the plain language of [CRA Sections 616 and 617, 15 U.S.C. §1681n and §1681o] provide a private right of action for a consumer against furnishers of information who have willfully or negligently failed to perform their

- 4. CCRAA was implemented to protect the credit information of California consumers. CCRAA also regulates consumer credit reporting agencies and furnishers of information with respect to personal, credit and other financial information submitted and maintained in their credit file. CCRAA in California Civil Code §1785.25-1785.26 refrains furnishers of information from reporting information that they know or should have known was erroneous, and obligates furnishers to cease credit reporting of information disputed by consumers without notice of such dispute.
- 5. CCRAA provides consumers with the right to be informed of negative credit reporting and the right to dispute information in their credit reports, which they believe is incomplete and/or inaccurate. Consumers also have the right to bring civil action against violators of any provision of the CCRAA with respect to their rights and their credit, and to seek monetary damages. California Civil Code §1785.19 and §1785.31.
- 6. Sanai v. Saltz, et al., 2009 Cal. App. LEXIS 83 (Cal. App. 2d Dist. Jan. 26, 2009) established that consumers may replead their FCRA claims as violations of the CCRAA and that the state claims are not preempted by FCRA. In further support, courts have uniformly rejected creditors' and consumer reporting agencies' arguments that the FCRA bars state law claims. See Sehl v. Safari Motor Coaches, Inc., 2001 U.S. Dist. Lexis 12638 (U.S.D.C. N.D. Cal. 2001)(for detailed discussion); Harper v. TRW, 881F. Supp. 294 (U.S.D.C. S.D. Mich. 1995); Rule v. Ford Receivables, 36 F. Supp.2d 335 (U.S.D.C. S.D. Va. 1999); Watkins v. Trans Union, 118 F. Supp.2d 1217 (U.S.D.C. N.D. Ala. 2000); Swecker v. Trans Union, 31 F. Supp.2d 536 (U.S.D.C. E.D. Va. 1998); Saia v. Universal Card Svc., 2000 U.S.Dist.Lexis 9494, 2000 Westlaw 863979 (U.S.D.C. E.D. La. 2000); Sherron v. Private Issue by Discover, 977 F. Supp.2d 804 (U.S.D.C. N.D. Miss. 1997); Hughes v. Fidelity Bank, 709 F. Supp.2d 639 (U.S.D.C. E.D. Pa. 1989).

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1681p, California Civil Code §1785.33, and 28 U.S.C. §1337.
- 8. Supplemental jurisdiction rests upon 28 U.S.C. §1367.

9. Venue is proper in this United States District Court, Central District of California because Defendant's violations alleged below occurred in the County of Los Angeles, State of California and within this District.

II. PRIVATE RIGHT OF REMEDY

- 10. 15 U.S.C. §1681n and §1681o refer to consumers' ability to bring civil liability action against users/furnishers of information for willful and negligent noncompliance respectively, with regards to any provision of the FCRA.
- 11. Gorman v. MBNA America Bank, N.A., No. 06-17226 further established that consumers are entitled to a Private Remedy against Furnishers for noncompliance with their obligations enforced under FCRA §1681s-2(b). Defendant's furnisher's liability under this section is triggered since Plaintiff made \${HIS_HER} initial disputes with the Credit Reporting Agencies.
- 12. California Civil Code §1785.15(f) states that consumers "have a right to bring civil action against anyone [...], who improperly obtains access to a file, knowingly or willfully misuses file data, or fails to correct inaccurate file data" concerning a consumer's credit report.
- 13. California Civil Code §1785.31(a) states that Plaintiff as "any consumer who suffers damages as a result of a violation of this title by any person may bring an action in a court of appropriate jurisdiction against that person to recover the following."

III. THE PARTIES

- 14. Plaintiff BERBERYAN is a natural person, an individual residing in Los Angeles County, State of California.
- 15. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined in 15 U.S.C. §1681a (c) and California Civil

- Code §1785.3(b).
- 16. Defendant "BANA" is a National Banking Association.
- 17. Defendant "BARCLAYS" is a Delaware Corporation.
- 18. Defendant "GE" is a Utah Corporation.
- 19. Defendant "HSBC" is a National Association.
- 20. Defendant "PNC" is a National Association.
- 21. Defendant "MACYS" is a Delaware Corporation.
- 22. Defendant "TD" is a National Association.
- 23. Defendant "DSNB" is a South Dakota Corporation.
- 24. Defendant "WELLS" is a National Banking Association.
- 25. Defendants regularly conduct business in the State of California.
- 26. Defendants are "furnishers of information," as referenced in 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2.
- 27. Defendants are persons as defined in 15 U.S.C. §1681a (b) and California Civil Code §1785.3(j).
- 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Defendants are responsible for the acts, occurrences and transactions as officers, directors or managing agents of Defendants or as their agents, servants, employees and/or joint venturers and as set forth in this Complaint, and that each of them are legally liable to Plaintiff, as set forth below and herein:
 - a. Said Officers, directors or managing agents of Defendants personally acted willfully with respect to the matters alleged in this Complaint;
 - b. Said Officers, directors or managing agents of Defendants personally authorized, approved of, adopted and/or ratified the acts alleged herein or the agents, servants, employees and/or joint venturers of Defendants did so act;
 - c. Said Officers, directors or managing agents of Defendants personally participated in the acts alleged herein of Defendants;
 - d. Said Officers, directors or managing agents of Defendants personally had close supervision of their agents, servants, employees and/or joint venturers of Defendants;
 - e. Said Officers, directors or managing agents of Defendants personally were familiar with the facts regarding the matters alleged herein;

29. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for the relief prayed for in this Complaint, and any future amended complaint. Further, Plaintiff alleges that each act alleged herein, whether by named Defendants were expressly authorized or ratified.

IV. FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 30. Plaintiff who is not a minor alleges that the following events and actions taken by Defendants occurred within the past one year.
- In or around December 01, 2011 Plaintiff conducted a credit review with the three major credit reporting agencies, Experian, Equifax and Transunion, (collectively "CRAs") and discovered an inaccuracy in her credit files with respect to the accounts 48889019968... reported by "BANA", 54663899503...reported by "BARCLAYS", 504662015614... reported by "GE", 704511010013..., 54160111230... and 2866110214... reported by "HSBC", 440542009... and 440542009... reported by "MACYS", 483950255780... reported by "TD", 431247776265... reported by "WELLS", (collectively referred to as "ACCOUNTS") which Plaintiff did not recognize.

On or about December 01, 2011 Plaintiff contacted the CRAs with dispute of the unknown accounts reported by Defendants, per FCRA [15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b)].

- 32. On or about December 13, 2011 after CRAs verified the accounts to be accurate and belonging to her, Plaintiff sent written disputes of the accounts to Defendants directly, requesting verification of ownership and accuracy as reported with the CRAs.
- 33. Defendants failed to properly investigate the accounts and provide adequate

response to Plaintiff's disputes. In the interim, upon receipt of Plaintiff's disputes and during the verifications period, Defendants continued to report the disputed accounts to the CRAs, without notice of Plaintiff's disputes.

- 34. Although Defendants failed to properly respond to Plaintiff's disputes with the requested verifications, within days of Plaintiff's credit bureau disputes, the CRAs claimed to have received verification of accuracy from Defendants concerning the disputed accounts.
- 35. On or about February 24, 2012 Plaintiff sent follow up requests to Defendants addressing their lack of proper responses and verifications to Plaintiff or alternatively, the deletion of the unverified accounts from Plaintiff's credit files.
- 36. Defendants again failed to answer back to Plaintiff with appropriate proof of investigation and verification of the information in disputes and failed to take corrective actions.
- 37. On or about March 15, 2012 Plaintiff sent Defendants notices of their violations with respect to the reporting of the unverified accounts. Plaintiff's communication was sent in an effort to get Defendants' cooperation and resolve the situation amicably.
- 38. To date, after multiple correspondences, Defendants still continues to maintain the unverified accounts information on Plaintiff's credit records.
- 39. As a result of Defendants' conducts, Plaintiff has suffered:
 - a. Actual damages and serious financial harm arising from monetary losses relating to denials to new credit, loss of use of funds, loss of credit and loan opportunities, excessive and/or elevated interest rate and finance charges;
 - b. Out of pocket expenses associated with communicating with Defendant, disputing the credit information, as well as consultation fees paid to attorneys and other professionals to obtain information and advice about consumer rights and furnisher obligations in credit reporting;
 - c. Emotional distress and mental anguish associated with having derogatory credit information transmitted about Plaintiff to other people both known and unknown;
 - d. Decreased credit score and creditworthiness, which may result in inability to obtain credit, employment or housing on future attempts.

COUNT I Violations of FCRA

- 40. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though alleged in full in this cause of action.
- 41. Defendants knowingly and willfully violated the FCRA. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to the following act performed:
 - a. Failing to provide accurate information to the CRAs, per 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (a);
 - b. Failing to inform Plaintiff about the reporting of negative information to his credit report, prior to or within five(5) days of furnishing a negative credit item to the CRAs, per 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (a)(7)(A);
 - c. Failing to honor their obligations to investigate a dispute concerning the accuracy of information contained in consumer report, upon the consumers express request, per 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (a)(8)(A).
 - d. Failing to conduct proper investigation of disputed information upon receipt of Plaintiff's disputes, as described in 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (a)(8)(E) and 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (b);
 - e. Failing to cease credit reporting on a disputed accounts upon receipt of consumer disputes and during the investigation periods and failing to provide notices of Plaintiff's disputes to CRAs along with their reporting of the accounts in disputes, per 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (a)(3);
 - f. Failing to delete or permanently block the reporting of the items of information (the accounts) disputed by Plaintiff, which were not and/or could not be verified after the reinvestigations, per 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (b)(1)(E);
 - g. Failing to take proper actions of verification, corrections, deletions, or permanent blocks of the information disputed by Plaintiff by the deadlines, as

described in 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (b)(2).

COUNT II Violations of CCRAA

- 42. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though alleged in full in this cause of action.
- 43. Defendants knowingly and willfully violated CCRAA. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to the following act performed:
 - a. Submitting negative credit information, as that described in California Civil Code §1785.26(a)(2), to Plaintiff's credit report with the CRAs, without notifying the Plaintiff, per California Civil Code §1785.26(b);
 - b. Failing to conduct proper investigation and review of all relevant information with respect to Plaintiff's disputes, per California Civil Code §1785.25(f);
 - c. Continuing to report the disputed information to the CRAs, upon receipt of Plaintiff's disputes and during the investigations period, without notices that the accounts have been disputed by Plaintiff, per California Civil Code §1785.25(c);

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 44. Plaintiff contends that the Defendants' actions constituted willful violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act and Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act.
- 45. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests judgment to be entered in his favor and against the Defendants for:
 - a) Actual damages, per 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(1)(A) and/or Cal. Civ. Code §1785.31(a)(2)(A);
 - b) Statutory damages, per 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(1)(A) and/or Cal. Civ. Code §1785.19(a);
 - c) Costs and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n (c)

and/or Code of Civil Procedure §490.020; d) Punitive damages, per 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(2) and Cal. Civ. Code $\S1785.31(a)(2)(B)$, as the court may allow; e) Injunctive relief, per Cal. Civ. Code §1785.31(b), ordering Defendants to delete the reporting of the unverified accounts; f) Declaratory relief, which is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201 and §2202; g) Any other relief as this Honorable Court deems appropriate. DATED: April 2, 2012 Respectfully submitted, Kours Arshak Bartoumian, attorney for Plaintiff

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff, Nevrik Berberyan, states as follows:

- 1. I am the Plaintiff in this civil proceeding.
- 2. I have read the above-entitled civil Complaint and I believe that all of the facts contained in it are true, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry.
- 3. I believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded in fact and warranted by existing law or by a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law.
- 4. I believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass any Defendants, cause unnecessary delay to any Defendants, or create a needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendants, named in the Complaint.
- 5. I have filed this complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set forth in it. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746(2), I, Nevrik Berberyan hereby declare (or certify, verify or state) under penalty that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: April 2, 2012

Nevrik Berberyan, Plaintiff

1	I, Nevrik Berberyan have suffered from the following due to, or made actions of the Defendants' credit reporting and debt collection activities		, the					
3	1. Sleeplessness	YES	NO					
4	2. Fear of answering the telephone							
5	3. Nervousness YES N							
6	4. Fear of answering the door	YES	NO					
7	5. Embarrassment when speaking with family or friends	YES	NO					
8	6. Depressions (sad, anxious, or "empty" moods)	YES	NO					
9	7. Chest pains	YES	NO					
10	8. Feelings of hopelessness, pessimism	YES	NO					
11	9. Feelings of guilt, worthlessness, helplessness	YES	NO					
12	10. Appetite and/or weight loss or overeating and weight gain	WES	NO					
13	11. Thoughts of death, suicide or suicide attempts	YES	NO					
14	12. Restlessness or irritability	MES	NO					
15	13. Headache, nausea, chronic pain or fatigue NO							
16	14. Negative impact on my job NO							
17	15. Negative impact on my relationships	YES	NO					
18	Other physical or emotional symptoms you believe are associated with collection activities:	abusive	debt					
19								
20								
21								
22	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746(2), I hereby declare (or certify, ve	rify or st	ate)					
23	under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	illy of so						
24	Att.							
25	Dated: Aprill 02, 2012 Signed Name	-						
26								
27	Nevrik Berberyan Printed Name	-						
28	Printed Name							

ARSHAK BARTOUMIAN (SBN 210370) OMNIA LEGAL, INC TEL. 818-532-9339 124 W STOCKER ST STE B GLENDALE CA 91202	
124 W STOCKER ST STE B GEENDALE CA 71202	
	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
NEVRIK BERBERYAN, an individual	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CV12. 04431 PSGLMY
BANK OF AMERICA N.A., A National Associations (See attached for Additional Defendants)	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
TO: DEFENDANT(S): BANK OF AMERICA N.A. (See attached for Additional Defendants) A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summor must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, AR 124 W STOCKER ST STE B, GLENDALE, CA 91202 judgment by default will be entered against you for the ryour answer or motion with the court.	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer SHAK BARTOUMIAN, whose address is If you fail to do so,
MAY 2 5 2012 Dated:	Clerk, U.S. District Court By: Deputy Clerk
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	(Seal of the Court)
CV-01A (12/07) SUMM	IONS

Case 2:12-cv-04431-PSG-MRW Document 3 Filed 05/25/12 Page 13 of 17 Page ID #:43

1	ARSHAK BARTOUMIAN (SBN 210370) OMNIA LEGAL, INC								
2	124 W STOCKER ST STE B GLENDALE, CA 91202								
3	TEL. 818-532-9339 EMAIL: <u>DISPUTES@OMNIALEGAL.ORG</u>								
4	Attorney for Plaintiff Nevrik Berberyan								
5	Theomey for Frankin Nevilk Belberyan								
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
7	CENTRAL DISTRI	ICT OF CALIFORNIA							
8		CASE No.:							
9	NEVRIK BERBERYAN, an individual,	VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR							
10	Plaintiff,	DAMAGES FOR:							
11	VS.	1) VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL FAIR							
12	BANK OF AMERICA N.A. A National Associations	CREDIT REPORTING ACT; 2) VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S							
13	BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE, A Delaware Corporation	CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES ACT;							
14	CAPITAL ONE BANK USA N.A. A National	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL							
15	Association GE MONEY BANK a/k/a GE CAPITAL								
16	FINANCIAL, INC A Utah Corporation								
17	HSBC BANK USA N.A. A National Association								
18	MACYS CORPORATE SERVICES, INC, A								
19	Delaware Corporation PNC BANK N.A. A National Association								
20	TD BANK N.A. A National Association DEPARTMENT STORES NATIONAL								
21	BANK, A South Dakota Corporation								
22	WELLS FARGO BANK N.A. A National Associations								
23	Defendants.								
24									
25									
26	NOW COMES Plaintiff Nevrik Be	rberyan (hereinafter "Plaintiff") through her							
	attorney on record Arshak Bartoumian and bring	attorney on record Arshak Bartoumian and brings this Complaint against BANK OF AMERICA							
27	N.A. (hereinafter "BANA"), BARCLAYS BAN	N.A. (hereinafter "BANA"), BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE (hereinafter "BARCLAYS"),							
28	CAPITAL ONE BANK USA N.A. (hereinafter	"CAPITAL"), GE MONEY BANK a/k/a GE							

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Philip S.	Gutierrez and the assigned
discovery Magistrate Judge is Michael Wilner.	

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 4431 PSG (MRWx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central Ι n

	District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.							
A	all discovery related motions	shou	ald be noticed on the calendar	of th	e Magistrate Judge			
=		===	NOTICE TO COUNSEL	==	=======			
	py of this notice must be served w a copy of this notice must be serv		e summons and complaint on all del n all plaintiffs).	endar	nts (if a removal action is			
Sub	sequent documents must be filed a	at the	following location:					
[X]	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012		Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516		Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501			

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 2:12-cv-04431-PSG-MRW Document 3 Filed 05/25/12 Page 16 of 17 Page ID #:46

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box NEVRIK BERBERYAN	D	DEFENDANTS BANK OF AMERICA N.A., A National Associations (See attached for Additional Defendants)								
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Ad yourself, provide same.)	epresenting A	ttorneys (If Known)								
	N (SBN 210370): TEL. 818-532	-9339								
124 W STOCKER ST STE	E B, GLENDALE, CA 91202									
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	N (Place an X in one box only.)	I	II. CITIZENSH (Place an X in	IP OF PRINCIPAL one box for plaintiff	PART f and or	TES -	For Diversity Case efendant.)	s Only		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S.)			r		DEF			PTF	DEF
	Government Not a Party	() C	Citizen of This Sta	te			Incorporated or lof Business in th			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citiz of Parties in Item III)	zenship C	Citizen of Another	Citizen of Another State					□ 5	
		C	Citizen or Subject	of a Foreign Country	□ 3	□ 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one	e box only.)									
Proceeding State Co	urt Appellate Court	Reop	pened	ransferred from anoth			Distr	rict Judg	eal to I e from istrate	
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLA	AINT: JURY DEMAND: 🗹	Yes □ N	o (Check 'Yes' or	nly if demanded in co	mplain	t.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.I	P. 23: □ Yes 🛂 No		м о	NEY DEMANDED	IN CC	OMPLA	INT: § Not Yet	Asserted		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite	the U.S. Civil Statute under whi	ch you are	filing and write a	brief statement of ca	use. D	o not ci	ite jurisdictional st	atutes unless dive	ersity.)	
VIOLATIONS OF 15 U.S.C	C. Section 1681, 15 U.S.C. Section	on 1692, C	Cal Civ. Code Sect	ion 1788, Cal. Civ. C	ode Se	ection 1	785			
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	an X in one box only.)									
OTHER STATUTES □ 400 State Reapportionment [CONTRACT □ 110 Insurance		TORTS ONAL INJURY	TORTS PERSONAL			PRISONER	LAB □ 710 Fair Lab		ndordo
□ 410 Antitrust	□ 120 Marine	□ 310 A	Airplane	PROPERTY			Motions to	Act		ndards
	☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument		Airplane Product	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Len			Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus	□ 720 Labor/N Relation		
Rates/etc.	☐ 150 Recovery of	□ 320 A	Assault, Libel &	□ 380 Other Person		□ 530	General	□ 730 Labor/N		
☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Overpayment &		lander 'ed. Employers'	Property Da	_		Death Penalty	Reportin	1g &	
and Corrupt	Enforcement of Judgment		iability	□ 385 Property Da: Product Liab			Mandamus/ Other	Disclosu		
Organizations	☐ 151 Medicare Act	□ 340 M		BANKRUPTCY	TO A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE			☐ 740 Railway ☐ 790 Other La		Act
480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted		Marine Product	☐ 422 Appeal 28 U	JSC		Prison Condition	Litigatio		
□ 490 Cable/Sat 1 V □ 810 Selective Service	Student Loan (Excl. Veterans)	□ 350 M	lotor Vehicle	158 □ 423 Withdrawal	20		RFEITURE /	□ 791 Empl. R		
□ 850 Securities/Commodities/	☐ 153 Recovery of	100 2 200 100	Notor Vehicle roduct Liability	USC 157			PENALTY Agriculture	Security PROPERTY		TC
Exchange	Overpayment of		ther Personal	CIVIL RIGHTS			Other Food &	□ 820 Copyrig	hts	
USC 3410	Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	In	njury	441 Voting				□ 830 Patent		
	190 Other Contract		ersonal Injury- led Malpractice	☐ 442 Employment☐ 443 Housing/Acc			Drug Related Seizure of	□ 840 Tradema SOCIAL SE	MM020000000000000000000000000000000000	rv
□ 891 Agricultural Act	☐ 195 Contract Product		ersonal Injury-	mmodations			E SECONDO E INDI	□ 861 HIA (13		1 1
□ 892 Economic Stabilization	Liability	Pı	roduct Liability	☐ 444 Welfare			881	□ 862 Black L		23)
Act □ 893 Environmental Matters	☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	0.00	sbestos Personal	445 American wi			Liquor Laws	□ 863 DIWC/I		
	☐ 210 Land Condemnation		iability	Disabilities Employment	- 1		R.R. & Truck Airline Regs	(405(g)) □ 864 SSID Ti		т
□ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	220 Foreclosure	IMM	IIGRATION	☐ 446 American wi			Occupational	□ 865 RSI (405		
	,		aturalization	Disabilities -	.		Safety /Health	FEDERAL T	water control of the	TTS
	240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability		pplication abeas Corpus-	Other □ 440 Other Civil	10	□ 690	Other	□ 870 Taxes (U		intiff
	290 All Other Real Property	A	lien Detainee	Rights				or Defen □ 871 IRS-Thir		v 26
State Statutes			ther Immigration ctions	8				USC 760		y 20
	6	111	12.0	1, 1, 7, 7						
			be have been	77 1 1 1						
OR OFFICE USE ONLY:	ase Number:		a a							

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

CV-71 (05/08)

Case 2:12-cv-04431-PSG-MRW Document 3 Filed 05/25/12 Page 17 of 17 Page ID #:47

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? ■No □ Yes If yes, list case number(s):								
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: H If yes, list case number(s):	ave any cases been p	reviously filed in this court th	nat are related to the present case? "No					
Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case: (Check all boxes that apply) A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.								
IX. VENUE: (When completing to(a) List the County in this Distric□ Check here if the government	t; California County	outside of this District: State	if necessary.) if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. f this box is checked, go to item (b).					
County in this District:*		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
LOS ANGELES			VARIOUS					
(b) List the County in this District ☐ Check here if the government,	; California County its agencies or empl	outside of this District; State oyees is a named defendant.	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).					
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
LOS ANGELES			VARIOUS					
(c) List the County in this District Note: In land condemnation	; California County o	outside of this District; State i	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.					
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
LOS ANGELES			VARIOUS					
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Berna Note: In land condemnation cases, u	ardino, Riverside, V	entura, Santa Barbara, or S	San Luis Obispo Counties					
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY	(OR PRO PER):	41111	Date APRIL 02, 2012					
but is used by the Clerk of the (Court for the purpose	of statistics, venue and initiati	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)					
Key to Statistical codes relating to S								
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action					
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))						
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)						
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))						
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))						
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.						
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))						

CV-71 (05/08)